UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,144	12/20/2001	Patrice Roussel	042390.P12488	3547
45209 INTEL/BSTZ	7590 08/01/200	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			DOLLINGER, TONIA LYNN MEONSKE	
· =	SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/032,144	ROUSSEL, PATRICE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tonia LM Dollinger	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	av 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-23,93-105 and 107-118</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-23, 93-105, and 107-118</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application				

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19, 20, 22, 93, 98, 99, 100, 101 and 109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sidwell et al., European Patent Application EP 0 743 594 Al, cited on the information disclosure statement filed on June 9,2003 (herein referred to as Sidwell).
- 3. Referring to claim 19, Sidwell has taught a method comprising:
 - a. storing a plurality of non-continuous groups of source bits into a plurality of noncontiguous groups of destination storage locations in response to execution of a first instruction that does not specify an order in which the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits are to be stored into a plurality of noncontiguous groups of destination storage locations (Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, rep4p, In this instruction the 8 source bits of S[0] are stored at destination R[0], the 8 source bits of S[1] are stored at destination R[1], the 8 source bits of S[2] are stored at destination R[2] and the 8 source bits at S[3] are stored at destination R[3]. So the bits in S[0] and S[3] and non-contiguous groups of source bits that are stored into a plurality of noncontiguous groups of destination storage locations R[0] and R[3].); and

Art Unit: 2181

b. duplicating bits from the plurality of non-contiguous groups of storage locations into groups of destination storage locations adjacent to the non-continuous groups of destination storage locations (Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, rep4p, The storage locations R[0] and R[3] are duplicated into storage locations R[4] and R[7]. R[3] and R[4] are adjacent storage locations.).

- 4. Referring to claim 20, Sidwell has taught the method of claim 19, as described above, and in which the source bits are stored in a first register (Figure 6, element 104).
- 5. Referring to claim 22, Sidwell has taught the method of claim 19, as described above, and in which the source bits are stored in a first memory location (Figure 6, element 104).
- 6. Referring to claim 93, Sidwell has taught an apparatus comprising:
 - a. a first storage area to store a plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits in response to execution of a first instruction that does not specify an order in which the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits are to be stored into a plurality of non-contiguous groups of destination storage locastions(Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, rep4p, In this instruction the 8 source bits of S[0] are stored at destination R[0], the 8 source bits of S[1] are stored at destination R[1], the 8 source bits of S[2] are stored at destination R[2] and the 8 source bits at S[3] are stored at destination R[3]. So memory R is the first storage area that stores a plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits, the 8 bits in R[0] and the 8-bits in R[3] .); and

b. a second storage area to store contiguous duplicates of the plurality of noncontiguous groups of source bits (Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, rep4p, The storage locations R[0] and R[3] are duplicated into storage locations R[4] and R[7]. R[3] and R[4] are adjacent storage locations. So memory R is the second storage area that stores contiguous duplicates of the plurality of noncontiguous groups of source bits.).

Page 4

- 7. Referring to claim 98, Sidwell has taught the apparatus of claim 93, as described above, and wherein the second storage area is to store only two of the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits and their duplicates (Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, rep4p, Only two duplicates of each source value is stored.).
- 8. Referring to claim 99, Sidwell has taught the apparatus of claim 93, as described above, and wherein the first and second storage areas are to store data corresponding to multi-media instructions (Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, Data corresponding to the rep4p instruction is stored in the first and second storage areas.).
- 9. Referring to claim 100, Sidwell has taught the apparatus of claim 99, as described above, and further comprising an execution unit to execute the multi-media instructions (Figure 1).
- 10. Referring to claim 101, Sidwell has taught a system comprising:
 - a. a memory to store a plurality of instructions (Figure 1, page 2, lines 1-38, element 22);
 - b. a processor to fetch a first instruction from the memory (Figure 1, page 2, lines 1-38, element 8), wherein the first instruction, if executed by the

Art Unit: 2181

processor, is to cause the processor to store contiguous duplicates of a plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits into a plurality of groups of destination storage locations without the first instruction specifying an order in which the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits are to be stored into the plurality of groups of destination storage locations (Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, rep4p, In this instruction the 8 source bits of S[0] are stored at destination R[0], the 8 source bits of S[1] are stored at destination R[1], the 8 source bits of S[2] are stored at destination R[2] and the 8 source bits at S[3] are stored at destination R[3]. So the bits in S[0] and S[3] and non-contiguous groups of source bits that are stored into a plurality of noncontiguous groups of destination storage locations R[0] and R[3]. The storage locations R[0] and R[3] are duplicated into storage locations R[4] and R[7]. R[3] and R[4] are adjacent storage locations.).

Page 5

11. Referring to claim 109, Sidwell has taught the system of claim 101, as described above, and wherein the processor is to fetch a second instruction from the memory (Figure 6, page 6, lines 1-14, rep4p), the second instruction to store a first number of non-contiguous duplicates of a second number of contiguous groups of source bits into a destination storage location, the first number being larger than the second number (The source of S is always 64 bits, or eight 8-bit locations. S[0] and S[3] are stored into R[0] and R[3] as well as R[4] and R[7]. Two (8-bit duplicates) is less than eight (8-bit source locations))).).

Art Unit: 2181

12. Claims 110-118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Abdallah et al., US Patent 6,115,8 12 (herein after Abdallah).

Page 6

- 13. Referring to claim 110, Abdallah have taught a machine-readable medium having stored thereon an instruction, which if executed by a machine, causes the machine to perform a method comprising:
 - a. storing bits (31-0) of a source value into bit storage locations (63-32) and (31-0) of a destination register (Figure 3E, column 5, lines 26-40, column 6, lines 42-55, element 354 is BBDD),
 - b. storing bits (95-64) of the source value into bit storage locations (127-96) and (95-64) of the destination register, wherein the instruction does not include a code to designate the order in which the source bits are to be stored in the destination register (Figure 3E, column 5, lines 26-40, column 6, lines 42-55, element 354 is BBDD).
- 14. Referring to claim 111, Abdallah have taught the machine-readable medium of claim 110, as described above, and wherein the source value is stored in a memory location (Figure 3E, column 6, lines 42-55, element 350).
- 15. Referring to claim 112, Abdallah have taught the machine-readable medium of claim 110, as described above, and wherein the source value is stored in a register (Figure 3E, column 6, lines 42-55, element 350).
- 16. Referring to claim 113, Abdallah have taught a machine-readable medium having stored thereon an instruction, which if executed by a machine causes the machine to perform a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2181

a. storing bits (63-32) of a source value into bit storage locations (31-0) and (63-32) of a destination register (Figure 3E, column 5, lines 26-40, column 6, lines 42-55, element 354 is AACC),

Page 7

b. storing bits (127-96) of the source value into bit storage locations (127-96) and (95-64) of the destination register, wherein the instruction does not include a code to designate the order in which the source bits are to be stored in the destination register (Figure 3E, column 5, lines 26-40, column 6, lines 42-55, element 354 is AACC).

- 17. Referring to claim 114, Abdallah have taught the machine-readable medium of claim 113, as described above, and wherein the source value is stored in a memory location (Figure 3E, column 6, lines 42-55, element 350).
- 18. Referring to claim 115, Abdallah have taught the machine-readable medium of claim 113, as described above, and wherein the source value is stored in a register (Figure 3E, column 6, lines 42-55, element 350).
- 19. Referring to claim 116, Abdallah have taught a machine-readable medium having stored thereon an instruction, which if executed by a machine causes the machine to perform a method comprising:
 - a. storing only bits (63-32) of a source value into bit storage locations (127-96) and (63-32) of a destination register (Figure 3E, column 5, lines 26-40, column 6, lines 42-55, element 354 is CDCD),
 - b. storing only bits (31-0) of the source value into bit storage locations (31-0) and (95-64) of the destination register, wherein the instruction does not include

Art Unit: 2181

a code to designate the order in which the source bits are to be stored in the destination register (Figure 3E, column 5, lines 26-40, column 6, lines 42-55, element 354 is CDCD).

- 20. Referring to claim 117, Abdallah have taught the machine-readable medium of claim 116, as described above, and wherein the source value is stored in a memory location (Figure 3E, column 6, lines 42-55, element 350).
- 21. Referring to claim 118, Abdallah have taught the machine-readable medium of claim 116, as described above, and wherein the source value is stored in a register (Figure 3E, column 6, lines 42-55, element 350).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 22. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 23. Claims 21, 23, 94,95,96, 97, 102, 103, 104, 105, 107 and 108 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sidwell et al., European Patent Application EP 0 743 594 Al, cited on the information disclosure statement filed on June 9,2003 (herein after Sidwell).
- 24. Referring to claim 21, Sidwell has taught the instruction of claim 19, as described above. Sidwell has not taught the source bits representing a double floating point data type. However the difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and

Art Unit: 2181

is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The storing would be performed the same regardless of the type of data. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 138 1, 1385,217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir. 1983). In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 103 1 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the source bits represent any type of data, including double floating point, because merely labeling the type differently from that in the prior art would have been obvious. See Gulack cited above.

Page 9

25. Referring to claim 23, Sidwell has taught the instruction of claim 19, as described above. Sidwell has not taught the source representing a single-precision floating point data type. However the difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The storing would be performed the same regardless of the type of data. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983) In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the source represent any type of data, including single-precision floating point, because merely labeling the type differently from that in the prior art would have been obvious. See Gulack cited above.

Page 10

Art Unit: 2181

26. Referring to claim 94, Sidwell has taught the apparatus of claim 93, as described above. Sidwell has not taught the source bits representing a double-precision floating point data type. However the difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The storing would be performed the same regardless of the type of data. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385,2 17 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir. 1983)I In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579,32 USPQ2d 103 1 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the source bits represent any type of data, including double-precision floating point, because merely labeling the type differently from that in the prior art would have been obvious. See Gulack cited above.

- 27. Furthermore, Sidwell has not taught that the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits is to represent a 32 bit value. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits of Sidwell be any number of bits, including 32-bits, since it has been held that a change in size is not a patentable difference. See In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459,463, 105 USPQ 237,240 (CCPA 1955).
- 28. Referring to claim 95, Sidwell has taught the apparatus of claim 94, as described above. Sidwell has not taught wherein the first storage area comprises a 128-bit memory location. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the first storage area of Sidwell be any number of

Art Unit: 2181

30.

bits, including 32-bits, since it has been held that a change in size is not a patentable difference. See In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 463, 105 USPQ 237,240 (CCPA 1955).

Page 11

29. Referring to claim 96, Sidwell has taught the apparatus of claim 94, as described above. Sidwell has not taught wherein the first storage and second storage areas comprises a 128-bit memory location. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the first storage and second storage areas of Sidwell be any number of bits, including 128-bits, since it has been held that a change in size is not a patentable difference. See In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459,463, 105 USPQ 237,240 (CCPA 1955).

Referring to claim 97, Sidwell has taught the apparatus of claim 93, as described

above. Sidwell has not taught wherein the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits comprise four single-precision floating point values. However the difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The storing would be performed the same regardless of the type of data. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention fRom the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385,217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir. 1983) In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579,32 USPQ2d 103 1 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the source represent any type of data, including single-precision floating point, because merely labeling the type differently from that in the prior art would have been obvious. See Gulack cited above. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the

Art Unit: 2181

plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits of Sidwell comprise any number of values, including four, since it has been held that a change in size is not a patentable difference. See In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459,463,105 USPQ 237,240 (CCPA 1955).

Referring to claims 102, 103, 104 and 105, Sidwell has taught the system of claim 101, as described above. Sidwell has not taught wherein the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits include: a least significant 32 source bits, a most significant 32 source bits, a second most significant group of 32 source bits and a second least-significant group of 32 source bits. However the difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The storing of data would be performed the same regardless of the data. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 138 1, 1385, 217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir. 1983) In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 103 1 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Page 12

31. Referring to claims 107 and 108, Sidwell has taught the systems of claims 104 and 105, as described above. Sidwell has not taught wherein the first instruction is a MOVSHDUP or a MOVSLDUP instruction. However the difference is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The storing would be performed the same regardless of the name of the instruction. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381,1385,217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir. 1983) In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 103 1 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Art Unit: 2181

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the instructions be labeled anything, including, MOVSHDUP and MOVSLDUP, because merely labeling the instructions differently from that in the prior art would have been obvious. See Gulack cited above.

Response to Arguments

- 32. Applicant's arguments filed for claims 19-23, 93-105 and 107-109 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the newly applied grounds of rejection.
- 33. Applicant's arguments filed for claims 110-118 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 34. On page 10, Applicant argues that Abdallah has not taught "in response to execution of a first instruction that does not specify an order in which the plurality of non-contiguous groups of source bits are to be stored into the plurality of non-contiguous groups of destination storage locations" as in claims 110, 113 and 116.
- 35. However, Abdallah does not teach that the SHUFPS instruction actually specifies an order in which the source bits are stored (Figure 3E, column 5, lines 26-40, column 6, lines 42-55). Abdallah only mentions that the operand source bits are copied to any location of the result. Abdallah has not specifically taught that an order must be directly specified in the instruction. Therefore this argument is moot.

Conclusion

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia LM Dollinger whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2181

272-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with first Friday's

off.

37. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

38. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TLMD

/Tonia LM Dollinger/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181